## Lesson 24. Logistic Regression and Odds Ratios - Part 1

1 In the previous lesson... the logistic regression model

- Variables:
- One binary categorical response variable $Y$, with probability of success $\pi=P(Y=1)$
- One explanatory variable $X$, either quantitative or categorical
- Two equivalent forms of the model:
- Logit form:

$$
\log \left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X
$$

- Probability form:

$$
\pi=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X}}
$$

- The odds of success is the ratio between the success probability and the failure probability:

$$
\operatorname{odds}(\pi)=\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}
$$

2 How parameter values affect the shape of the curve in probability form



- The "midpoint" on the $y$-axis, where $\pi=0.5$, occurs at
- The slope of the curve at this "midpoint" is
- If $\beta_{1}<0$, the curve has a
- If $\beta_{1}>0$, the curve has a $\square$ slope
- Increasing the value of $\beta_{0}$ shifts the curve to the


## 3 The odds ratio

- The odds ratio is a ratio of odds:
- Interpretation: an odds ratio of 2 means the odds of success are 2 times as high under condition A versus condition B

Example 1. A study investigated whether a handheld device that sends a magnetic pulse into a person's head might be an effective treatment for migraine headaches. Reserarchers recruited 200 subjects who suffered from migraines and randomly assigned them to receive either the TMS (transcranial magnetic stimulation) treatment or a placebo. Whether or not the subject was pain free two hours after treatment, as well as which treatment was received, is recorded below.

|  | TMS | Placebo | Total |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pain-free | 39 | 22 | 61 |
| Not pain-free | 61 | 78 | 139 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 200 |

a. Using the raw data above, estimate the odds ratio of being pain-free with TMS versus with the placebo.
b. Interpret the odds ratio in the context of the problem.

## 4 The odds ratio in logistic regression with a binary predictor

- Consider a fitted logistic regression model in logit form:

$$
\log \left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right)=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} X
$$

- Suppose $X$ is binary
- How can we interpret $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ ? How about $e^{\hat{\beta}_{1}}$ ?

Example 2. Continuing with the TMS data in Example 1...
In Part 2 of this lesson, we fit a logistic regression model that uses treatment status to predict the probability of being pain-free. The data resides in a CSV file called data/tms.csv.

The R code looks like this:

```
tms.data <- read.csv('data/tms.csv')
fit <- glm(PainFree ~ TMS, data = tms.data, family = binomial)
summary(fit)
```

The output looks like this:

```
Call:
glm(formula = PainFree ~ TMS, family = binomial, data = tms.data)
Deviance Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
-0.9943 & -0.9943 & -0.7049 & 1.3723 & 1.7402
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.2657 0.2414 -5.243 1.58e-07 ***
TMS 0.8184 0.3167 2.584 0.00977 **
---
Signif. codes: 0 '\star**' 0.001 '\star*' 0.01 '\star' 0.05 '.' 0.1 , ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
        Null deviance: 246.02 on 199 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 239.13 on 198 degrees of freedom
AIC: 243.13
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

a. State the fitted model in logit form.
b. Using the fitted slope from the logistic regression model, estimate the odds ratio of being pain free with TMS versus with the placebo.

- Note that the odds ratio we estimated directly from the data in Example 1 and the odds ratio we estimated from the logistic regression model in Example 2 are equal
- This is not a coinidence! These will always match for a binary predictor

5 The odds ratio in logistic regression with a quantitative predictor

- Again, consider a fitted logistic regression model in logit form:

$$
\log \left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right)=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} X
$$

- Now suppose $X$ is quantitative
- How can we interpret $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ ? How about $e^{\hat{\beta}_{1}}$ ?

|  | $x^{*} \longrightarrow x^{*}+1$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\operatorname{logit}=\log$ (odds) |  |
| odds |  |
| probability |  |

Example 3. Continuing with the MedGPA data from Lesson $23 .$. .
We looked at a binary response variable (Acceptance $=1$ if accepted, 0 if not) and a quantitative predictor (GPA) for 55 medical school applicants from a college in the Midwest.

In Part 2 of this lesson, we fit a logistic regression model that predicts the probability of being accepted into medical school based on GPA. The R code looks like this:

```
library(Stat2Data)
data(MedGPA)
fit <- glm(Acceptance ~ GPA, data = MedGPA, family = binomial)
summary(fit)
```

The output looks like this:

```
Call:
glm(formula = Acceptance ~ GPA, family = binomial, data = MedGPA)
Deviance Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
-1.7805 & -0.8522 & 0.4407 & 0.7819 & 2.0967
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -19.207 5.629 -3.412 0.000644 ***
GPA 5.454 1.579 3.454 0.000553 ***
---
Signif. codes: 0 ',***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '\star' 0.05 '.' 0.1 , ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
        Null deviance: 75.791 on 54 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 56.839 on 53 degrees of freedom
AIC: 60.839
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
a. State the fitted model.
b. Calculate the odds of acceptance with a GPA of 3.3.
c. Estimate the probability of acceptance with a GPA of 3.3.
```

d. Using the estimated slope, calculate the odds ratio of acceptance for a 4.0 GPA versus a 3.0 GPA .
e. Calculate the odds ratio comparing the odds of acceptance for a 3.4 GPA versus a 3.3 GPA .
f. Interpret the odds ratio from part e in the context of the problem.

